

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)


(51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> : <b>G06F 1/00</b>		<b>A1</b>	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 00/50978</b>
			(43) International Publication Date: 31 August 2000 (31.08.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/02855		(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).	
(22) International Filing Date: 3 February 2000 (03.02.00)		<b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>	
(30) Priority Data: 09/257,274 24 February 1999 (24.02.99) US			
(71) Applicant: GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION [US/US]; 101 Tournament Drive, Horsham, PA 19044 (US).			
(72) Inventors: SAFADI, Reem; 429 Brown Briar Circle, Horsham, PA 19044 (US). VINCE, Lawrence; 873 Yorktown Street, Lansdale, PA 19466 (US).			
(74) Agent: WILLIAMS, Karin, L.; Mayer, Fortkort & Williams, LLC, Suite 250, 200 Executive Drive, West Orange, NJ 07052 (US).			

(54) Title: AUTHORIZATION AND ACCESS CONTROL OF SOFTWARE OBJECT RESIDING IN SET-TOP TERMINALS

## (57) Abstract

A method for providing authentication, authorization and access control of software object residing in digital set-top terminals creates a fingerprint ("signature") for each software object, associates each fingerprint with a service tier, encodes each association and creates an association table containing the information and downloads the association table to the digital set-top terminal. In addition, the method utilizes an entitlement management message, sent to each set-top terminal, indicating what software objects the set-top terminal may utilize, and provides a system routine at the digital set-top terminal that is invoked whenever software

object is about to be utilized. The entitlement management message contains the access rights given to a particular set-top terminal, which must match the software object's access requirements for the software object to be utilized. The entitlement management message may also contain set-top terminal resource control access rights that a given software object may utilize. When the software object requires the utilization of a set-top resource, a second conditional access routine may be invoked to determine the authorization rights for using the resource. Measures to protect such means are also described. As such the method provides multiple system cable operators (MSO's) with additional capabilities to maintain secure control of features and applications running on their networks and within the associated set-top terminals.



*FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY*

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

5

**AUTHORIZATION AND ACCESS CONTROL OF  
SOFTWARE OBJECT RESIDING IN SET-TOP TERMINALS**

10

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to a method for providing  
15 authorization, authentication and access control of "executable code", or,  
"software object", which includes but is not limited to application code, operating  
systems and associated components (e.g. dynamic link libraries - DLL's), BIOS,  
Java Virtual Machine (JVM), Java applications and applets, etc., residing in set-  
top terminals.

20

Background of the Invention

As digital set-top terminals (the General Instrument DCT5000+, for  
example), incorporate the capability to download different operating systems,  
25 DLL's, JVM's (Windows CE included), multiple system cable operators (MSO's)  
need a mechanism that will allow them to maintain control of the features and  
applications that run within these set-top terminals. More specifically, MSO's  
want the ability to access control services and associated usage of software objects  
in set-top terminals.

30 One known attempt to address the authenticity of code objects for the PC  
environment is Microsoft's "Authenticode" capability. This product enables  
software vendors to acquire a digital signature for published executable code.  
Authenticode provides a digital signature with only one signer; the code is signed  
with Microsoft's private key (which is not published) and is verified with  
35 Microsoft's public key, which is bundled into the Authenticode verification code  
in the operating system. However, while Authenticode provides digital signature

protection for executable code, it does not provide any means of determining access requirements for the executable code for access control purposes (and revenue generation purposes), and it is applicable only to executable code.

A second known attempt to address control of Java applets is "Java Security" which is intended to prevent applets from inspecting or changing files on a client system and from using network connections to circumvent file protections or data privacy measures. However, as is the case with Authenticode, Java Security does not offer authentication of any software object unless it is Java based, nor does it offer the association with access requirements for access control and revenue generation purposes.

Although each of the products described above attempt to address protection and control of software object in a PC environment against unauthorized utilization by a given set-top terminal, they do not fully address the issues associated with authorization, authentication and access control, and thus, do not provide an optimal solution that meets MSO requirements.

#### Summary of the Invention

As set-top terminals assume a computing environment for entertainment purposes by utilizing downloadable software objects such as operating systems, libraries, Java Virtual Machines, applications, applets, etc., it becomes extremely critical to protect and control the software object to guard against unauthorized utilization by a given set-top terminal. In accordance with the proposed concept, not only does the identity of each software object require authentication but also, its utilization has to be subject to MSO control via authorization permissions along with control of which set-top terminal resources a given software object may use. These measures complement those of object validation and verification and ensure that software objects that have not been authenticated are not utilized. To the extent that these measures are utilized, the set-top terminal is no longer subject to problems associated with objects that have failed to follow the security design rules, or worse yet, those which may be contaminated with a virus that is meant to cause harm to the MSO's network and associated set-top terminals.

In a particular embodiment of the invention, a method for providing

authorization and access control of software object residing in digital set-top terminals creates a fingerprint (signature) for each software object, associates each fingerprint with a service tier, encodes each association and creates an association table containing the information generated by the encoding step (note, this table  
5 may consist of one or more association entries). In addition, the method sends the association table to the digital set-top terminal and also transmits a message indicating what software objects the set-top terminal may utilize, to the digital set-top terminal. Finally the proposed method provides a system routine at the digital set-top terminal that is invoked prior to commencing download of the object, once  
10 the software object has been downloaded, or optionally whenever the software object is about to be utilized (or "invoked" if it is executable code). The system routine uses the association table to validate the authenticity of the object (authenticate it) and to determine if the software object about to be utilized is associated with a corresponding service tier which the set-top has been authorized  
15 for, if not the software object download (or utilization) is not allowed. If however the software object about to be downloaded (or utilized) is associated with a service tier for which the set-top has been authorized, the object download (or utilization) is allowed. In accordance with another aspect of the invention, the software object has been verified and validated prior to the recited steps.

20 In accordance with still another aspect of the invention, the transmitted message further indicates which set-top terminal resources the software object or the set-top as a whole is authorized to utilize.

Yet a further advantage provided by another feature of the present invention is that if the software object about to be invoked contains the correct  
25 fingerprint and authorization rights match the authorization requirements associated with the software object, the method further determines if the use of set-top terminal resources has been authorized. In one embodiment, if a determination is made that the use of a set-top terminal resource has been requested, the method further provides a second system routine at the digital set-  
30 top terminal, and the second system routine uses the transmitted messages to determine if the software object may utilize the requested set-top terminal resource. In the case where the resource is authorized as in Impulse-authorizable

resource (by associating it with an impulse tier in the message), the user is allowed to request an impulse (immediate) authorization of this resource. This prevents the subscriber (user) from having to call the MSO's Customer Service Center for such authorization.

- 5           A further advantageous feature of the invention is that if the software object about to be utilized does not contain the correct fingerprint, the software object is not executed.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

- 10           Figure 1 is a simplified block diagram illustrating the logical paths of a cable system relevant to the description of the invention.

Figure 2 is a simplified flow chart illustrating the steps performed by a multiple system cable operator (MSO) to provide authorization and access control of software object in set-top terminals.

- 15           Figure 3 is a simplified flowchart illustrating the steps performed by a Conditional Access (CA) routine, at a set-top terminal, upon invoking software object.

- Figure 4 is a simplified flowchart illustrating the additional steps performed by a second Conditional Access (CA) routine in another embodiment  
20           of the invention.

Detailed Description of the Invention

Multiple system cable operators need to extend access control capabilities, i.e., to control the ability to access and use software objects in set-top terminals capable of downloading such objects and later utilizing these objects if their  
5 download and use is authorized and the objects pass authentication checks.

Access control of a software object, in accordance with one aspect of the invention, consists of three parts. The first defines the access requirements for a particular service (and associated objects), and the second defines the  
10 authorization rights for a particular set-top terminal to access these services (and associated objects). The third provides additional identification information to enable the set-top terminal to authenticate the objects prior to their utilization. The access requirements may be considered as the lock, and the authorization rights may be considered as the key. When the authorization rights match the access rights (and no parental control is required), the set-top terminal is allowed  
15 to access the service (and associated objects).

There are two types of messages that facilitate the access control function. First, the Entitlement Control Message (ECM) delivers the Entitlement Control Structure (ECS) (explained in further detail below) which contains the Entitlement Control Record (ECR) (also explained in detail below) for the associated objects  
20 and lists the entitlement information required for program viewing or object(s) use. The second message, the Entitlement Management Message (EMM) delivers the entitlements purchased by or granted to the consumer. The functions of each of these messages are described in greater detail below.

The following provides an outline of how software objects are authorized  
25 to run (post authentication). All software objects that are not authorized (and authenticated) in this manner will not be usable by the set-top terminal. In the event that all preventive measures intended to keep unauthorized software objects from residing within the set-top terminal fail, this approach aids in detecting such applications and in preventing their utilization or execution.

30 In the digital set-top terminal, the utilization of all software objects (including applications associated with a given service) must be authorized by the access control system. The software object is specified to consist of



downloadable code or data that may be utilized in the set-top terminal at either the subscriber's or the MSO's will.

First, as illustrated in the block diagram of Figure 1, an Object Authentication Signature Device 300 (OASD) utilizes either a National Access  
5 Controller 310 (NAC) (in the national control scenario) or a Local Access Controller 320 (LAC) (in the local control scenario) to interact with a number of set-top terminals 350a, 350b, etc. The details of the interactions of each of these devices are described in detail below in connection with the detailed description of the invention.

10 Referring to the flow chart of Figure 2, in step 10, a "fingerprint", i.e., a digital signature, is created for each software object (e.g., applications, OS's, DLL's, JVM's, Java applications and applets, etc.). The fingerprint (signature) of the software object serves as a unique Entitlement Control Record (ECR). For example, each software object that the MSO wants to place in this category, i.e.,  
15 under access control, is associated with a "fingerprint". Note that the fingerprint might simply be a seed for a key that could be encrypted by known means, or, it could be a value that is derived from an initial value through processing it as an image or otherwise (i.e., the fingerprint may include object size, checksum, etc.).

In particular, the fingerprint (a digital signature) may be generated by a  
20 software/HW object authentication/signature device (OASD). This is performed after the software object is verified and validated (either through inspection, testing, etc. – the details of which are outside the scope of this application). The intent of the software verification and validation is to ensure that the design and implementation of the object follows a pre-specified set of rules and requirements  
25 established for security purposes. This may be done under contract to the MSO (details of which are also outside the scope of this application). The signature may be based on a unique (which may or may not be MSO-specific) object identifier and a cryptographic CRC of the object and serves as a form of certification that is unique to the software object itself (several conventional  
30 signing techniques may be employed, the details of which however are outside the scope of this application). If several software objects are associated with a service, each may be associated with a signature, and then an overall signature

may be provided for the entire suite, whenever authentication of this higher level association is desired.

Continuing to step 20 of Figure 2, the fingerprint of each software object is then associated with a service tier. Both satellite and cable access control systems utilize the concept of "tiering". For audiovisual services, a tier is a logical grouping of programs or services (the degenerate case being a single program or service). The grouping is created to facilitate control of the user's (subscriber's) access to that group of services based upon the MSO's subscriber profile (i.e., which services are subscribed to by a given consumer). The access rights of the user would demand a great deal of memory in the set-top terminal if the access rights were stored as separate flags for each and every program or object available. The tiers are typically represented as single binary digits (bits) that can be defined and redefined dynamically. Since each tier (or group) is represented as a single bit and the tiers are defined to be relevant to the service offering at a given point in time, they offer the most compact representation possible for the user's access rights (compactness is very important, since the access rights must be kept in secure memory, which is limited, and must be transmitted frequently, and as such bandwidth requirements are minimized). One or more objects may be associated with a given service/application and assigned the corresponding tiers. Additionally, while such authorization rights may be stored on a server at the other end of the network (as opposed to at the set-top terminal), where a set-top terminal may query its rights by communicating with the server in real time, it is typically advantageous to distribute this information within the set-top terminals for security, robustness, performance, as well as minimizing single point of failure effects. Once the event (or "program") terminates, or once the object(s) is no longer offered as part of a particular service, the tier definition will be updated to reflect this change. The authorization tiers for which the subscriber has been authorized are conveyed in a corresponding Entitlement Management Message (EMM) (described in further detail below in the description of Figure 1, step 50).

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, there are two types of tiers, the first, a Subscription tier which is associated with a service (and corresponding objects) that continues over a duration of time and which is purchased ahead of

actual use. The second, an Impulse Pay Per Use tier (IPPU, analogous to the Impulse Pay Per View for video programming), allows for an impulse purchase of an object or set of objects associated with a given service/application and may have a time duration associated with it. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that other usages, combination or conditional, can be based on these two tiers.

Referring once again to step 20 in Figure 2, more specifically, the fingerprint to service tier association may be assigned by the MSO's access controller (Access Controller (AC) for National Control or Digital Access Controller (DAC) for local control) via the addition of a CA (conditional access) subtending signature functionality specific to objects associated with MSO's network. This function can be facilitated by OASD when it is acting as a subtending device to the MSO's AC or the DAC. As previously mentioned, OASD functionality may be embodied in an independent device (software and hardware), which in turn would communicate with the AC or the DAC to obtain the access requirement assignments (corresponding tiers for that object)

The additional MSO specific signature takes the signature of a previously signed object (i.e., the fingerprint or "digital signature" generated by the OASD), and adds to it a unique object identifier (if an MSO-specific object identifier is required). It also adds any one or more entitlement tier bits, which define the access requirements associated with the corresponding software object, and an envelope signature for the entire structure, referred to hereafter as the entitlement control structure (ECS). This unique and secret encoding of the ECS is shown in step 30.

The ECS may contain the access requirements for the object and associated resources or it may be partitioned into two ECS's, one for the access requirements for the object and another for the resources. The latter approach is typically a more appropriate approach if the resource authorization is independent of a given object and is being performed on a set-top wide basis. However, either approach may be utilized (i.e., a combined ECS or two separate ECS's) and has no impact on how the authorization steps are performed.

The cost and free-use period, along with global set-top terminal resource

restrictions, for example, may be assigned by this device as specified by the AC or the DAC (which in turn may be specified via the Billing System interface). These parameters are also conveyed as part of the ECS within the ECM.

The functionality of the OASD and the MSO's signing and creation of the  
5 ECS (steps 10-30) may be combined into a single device, subtending to the AC or the DAC, as the preferred embodiment since it is the simpler case. Either way, the physical product partitioning shouldn't alter the functional steps that need to be performed (it may optimize these steps however).

Continuing to step 40 of Figure 2, at the MSO, the collection of unique  
10 ECS's form an association table, that is made available to a national or local download function (Downloader) associated with the AC or the DAC, respectively, and is downloaded to the digital set-top terminal (either in its entirety, or one entry at a time in an appropriate message, when downloading). Whenever the Downloader downloads protected software objects it provides the  
15 digital set-top terminal with the secret "software object fingerprint to service tier association" (ECS), which is preferably encrypted by known means before transmission. The Downloader downloads the software object in a carousel fashion while the ECS in the associated ECM's may be sent independently. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that this independence provides an  
20 additional security measure.

Applicants note that in an alternative embodiment of the invention, if authorization is not required, the ECS may then effectively consist of the ECR only (i.e., step 20 of Figure 2 is not performed). The ECS in such an embodiment is piggybacked onto the downloaded object. The set-top terminal examines the  
25 ECS to perform the authentication check. The set-top download function downloads the first N bytes of the object (as indicated by the header information accompanying the downloaded object) and ignores the trailing bytes that comprise the ECS. However, the preferred embodiment described above is preferable to this embodiment for two reasons: first, the coupling of the ECS to the object  
30 removes a desirable security measure, and second, this embodiment introduces inconsistent processing between an ECS which contains only the ECR and that which contains the ECR and the service tier association. The preferred

embodiment however does not restrict how the ECS may be conveyed, nor does it restrict the ECS to the type of message that specifies it (EMM or some other control message).

Again returning to the description of step 40 of Figure 2, the Downloader  
5 may be part of the AC or the DAC since it can be viewed as a software task, or alternatively, it can be separate from the DAC, i.e., a software task running on its own HW platform.

The MSO, using the AC or the DAC (both are HW and SW devices), via parameter settings from the billing system and based on the customer profile, then  
10 controls the set-top terminal access to a specific service and associated object or set of objects by using the previously mentioned Entitlement Management Messages (EMM's) specific to that set-top terminal. These messages also establish whether the set-top terminal is allowed to utilize that software object and may also specify which set-top terminal resources (e.g., communication ports,  
15 printer port, keyboard, etc.) the object is allowed to use (when subscriber level control is desired). Additionally, the AC or the DAC may selectively assign an Impulse authorization tier (and convey the setting via the same message) to facilitate immediate authorization of the requested resource when the subscriber explicitly requests that the resource be authorized. In the case where a resource is  
20 authorized as in Impulse-authorizable resource (by associating it with an impulse tier in the message), the user may request an impulse (i.e., immediate) authorization of this resource, thereby preventing the subscriber (user) from needing to call the MSO for such authorization.

Finally, in step 50, The AC or the DAC sends the EMMs to each and every  
25 set-top terminal to enable it to download and utilize the object(s) (more specifically, when resource control is desired for a single object globally across all set-tops, the permission list for the resource control may reside in the ECS; otherwise the permissions (access rights) are conveyed to each set-top individually in an EMM). The Access Controller (or DAC) then sends the entitlement to the  
30 set-top terminal that is authorized to receive this service and associated objects (again, these entitlements are assigned in the previously described EMM's).

A system routine is created and provided in the set-top terminal, and is

invoked whenever the set-top terminal is to check the authorization rights and authenticity of the software objects associated with the requested service. This system routine may be part of the core code (BIOS) in the set-top terminal. It may also be provided within the operating system (OS), or middleware. When  
5 downloading the operating system, or the JVM for example, the resident routine is invoked to check authorization rights prior to download and if so authenticate these objects post download. A second authorization stage may also be present (for some objects) to check if utilization/launch of these objects is allowed. Once the operating system is loaded, any subsequent object utilization that involves the  
10 operating system or the JVM invokes the equivalent authorization and authentication routine in the OS.

More specifically, the set-top terminal authenticates and authorizes a downloaded object using the EMM's and ECM's associated with a given set-top terminal and object respectively. The set-top may check the authorization rights  
15 against the authorization requirements of the software object prior to downloading the object, upon downloading the object, or whenever the object is about to be utilized. Subsequent authorization checks are optional. Figure 3 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed at a set-top terminal upon invoking software object.

20 In Figure 3, step 100 is the download request. Accordingly, in step 110, the BIOS, operating system and/or the Java Virtual Machine (JVM), when requiring the download or the use of a software object, call(s) the set-top CA routine for an authentication and authorization check. The use or launch of the object is allowed only if the check passes. The CA check is facilitated by the  
25 secure processor. In addition, a lifetime feature may be implemented, wherein the secure processor records the object lifetime and checks it for expiration, starting for example with first use (i.e., the first time the secure processor was engaged in authenticating and authorizing the object). When expired, it may interrupt the operating system or JVM to disable/delete the object(s). If any of the checks fail,  
30 the set-top terminal may log the results to report back to the access controller. Again, this feature is a combination of software and hardware functions.

More specifically, returning to Figure 3, in step 120 a determination is

made as to whether or not there is a need to check authorization rights. If not, as shown in Figure 3, in step 130, the software object may be downloaded to the set-top terminal prior to any authorization. However, if so, in step 200 the Conditional Access (CA) routine, before downloading the object, may determine  
5 if the set-top terminal is authorized to download the object. This step is optional and may depend upon the nature of the software object (i.e., some objects are necessary and may not require this prior authorization). If the step is performed, and if a determination is made that the set-top terminal is authorized to download the object, the process continues to step 210. If however, a determination is made  
10 in step 200 that the set-top terminal is not authorized to download the object, the process continues to step 150, where the object is not utilized.

In Step 210 the software object is downloaded to the set-top terminal and the process continues to step 150 for authentication, described in further detail below.

15 Alternatively, again if a determination was made in step 120 that there was no need to check authorization rights, the software object is downloaded (step 130) and as shown in step 140, the Conditional Access (CA) routine determines if the set-top terminal is authorized to use/launch the software object. Based on the determination, the software object may or may not be utilized. All unauthorized  
20 software objects will not have a corresponding tier association. The encoded "fingerprint of the software object to tier value" association (ECS) of the software object (or "application" in this example) is known only to the MSO and by definition is unique to each software object and is protected. Accordingly, if a determination is made in step 140 that the set-top terminal has not been authorized  
25 to use/launch the software object, the process continues to step 140, where the software object is not downloaded (or utilized). If the tier corresponding to the software object has been authorized however, the process continues to step 150.

Continuing to step 150, the CA routine, again with the assistance of the secure processor, checks to see if the software object has the corresponding  
30 fingerprint association. Depending on the result, the software object may or may not be utilized. For example, all unauthorized software objects will not have a corresponding fingerprint (since an unauthorized software object cannot "guess"

the corresponding ECR value). In that case, the process continues to step 160, where the software object is not used. The protected fingerprint of the software object is known only to the MSO and by definition is unique to each software object. If the software object has the corresponding fingerprint association  
5 however, the process continues to step 170, where the set-top terminal authorizes and authenticates the downloaded object.

It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that each of the authorization steps illustrated in steps 140 and 200 of Figure 3 are optional and are not necessarily performed. In addition, although the authorization check  
10 performed in step 200 continues to step 210 and then to the authentication of step 150, additional subsequent checks could be performed by the CA routine and are well within the scope of the invention.

In addition, in a second embodiment of the invention, if the software object requires the utilization of a given set-top terminal resource, a similar  
15 checking process to determine if the software object has permission to use the required resources may occur. These permissions (authorization rights) may be associated with a given object for all set-top terminals or may be associated with a given object for a specific set-top terminal. The authorization rights to use the set-top terminal resources are conveyed in a similar manner, via EMM's.

20 As noted above, the authorization rights may also be designated as Impulse tiers to indicate that the subscriber may request the immediate authorization of the Impulse authorizable resource. The set-top in turn checks the request in a similar manner and if the Impulse tier is set, it registers the authorization as having taken place (for possible subsequent billing purposes).

25 Each of these options is shown in Figure 4 where in step 122, a determination is made as to whether a set-top terminal resource is requested by the software object (if software object has requested resource utilization via the OS). If step 122 determines that a valid set-top terminal resource has not been requested, no further action is taken.

30 If however step 122 determines that a valid set-top terminal resource has been requested, the process continues to step 124 in which the OS invokes the driver associated with the requested set-top terminal resource. Continuing to step



126, the associated driver (upon the first use only of the resource) invokes a "second Conditional Access routine" (which may be part of BIOS or the operating system) to determine if the requesting software object is allowed to use this resource. More specifically, the driver routine calls the second access control  
5 routine which, in conjunction with the secure processor, determines whether the software object may utilize the requested resource (i.e., determines if it is authorized for such use). The resource usage authorization rights are stored in secure memory as well. Specifically, in step 128 it is determined if the EMM provided permission to use the requested resource. If the EMM did not provide  
10 such permission, the process disallows the use of the requested resource (step 130) (i.e., the control goes back to the driver and then the OS with a negative result, indicating that use of the requested resource is not allowed). However, if the EMM provided permission, the utilization of the requested set-top resource is allowed in step 132.

15 In addition, in the case where the permissions are set as Impulse tiers (requiring an explicit request from the user for the authorization to take effect), the routine grants the authorization and registers the Impulse request within the secure processor (for possible subsequent billing purposes via a report back mechanism to the AC or the DAC).

20 In a still further aspect of a preferred embodiment of the invention, the driver associated with a requested resource may invoke the second CA routine only upon the first use of the resource by the software object, wherein subsequent invocations of the second conditional access routine are optional.

Finally, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that various  
25 methods may be implemented in order to detect any tampering to circumvent the processes described above. These methods may include periodic background checks of the software object memory, fingerprint (which may include memory size, checksum, etc.), including the set-top terminal core BIOS, Operating System, etc., against pre-calculated and protected values for each. Specifically, for  
30 example, the set-top terminal's secure processor in conjunction with the user processor can perform a memory checksum on certain critical components of the software. This may be done whenever the user processor and the secure processor

have sufficient idle time to perform this function in order to minimize adverse performance effects on other functions. It may also be invoked at the operator's request via a received command message (from the MSO's controller), in the event that the MSO wants to verify the integrity of the software as part of a  
5 trouble shooting or monitoring process. The secure processor has the cryptographic checksum of the software component to be checked. The user processor, under the operating system's control, passes the memory segments comprising this object to the secure processor.

If the secure processor determines that the check has failed, it may embody  
10 the status in an encrypted format, which is incorporated in a message that is sent to the MSO's controller. The reliance on the user processor for this purpose may be minimized to ensure that these operations can not be intercepted. In addition, in the event that tampering or a transmission error (in either case, a "deviation") is detected, additional indications may be provided, for example, flagging the set-top  
15 terminal's unique address to the MSO/headend to shut off all or some of the subscriber's services, notifying a local or national Access Control Center of the event, the time, the unique set-top terminal address, geographic location, etc.

Although various embodiments are specifically illustrated and described herein, it will be appreciated that modifications and variations of the present  
20 invention are covered by the above teachings and within the purview of the appended claims without departing from the spirit and intended scope of the invention.

## WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for providing authorization and access control of software object residing in digital set-top terminals, comprising the steps of:
  - 5 creating a fingerprint for each software object;
  - associating each fingerprint with a service tier;
  - encoding each association made in said associating step;
  - creating an association table containing the information generated in said encoding step;
  - 10 downloading the association table to the digital set-top terminal;
  - transmitting a message, providing an indication of what software the set-top terminal may utilize, to the digital set-top terminal; and
  - providing a system routine at the digital set-top terminal that is invoked whenever software object has been downloaded or is about to be
  - 15 utilized,wherein the system routine uses the association table to determine if the software object about to be invoked has been authorized for the set-top terminal.
- 20 2. The method of claim 1, further wherein the software object has been verified and validated prior to the recited steps.
3. The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:
  - 25 recording a lifetime of the software object; and
  - starting with a first use, checking the lifetime of the software object for expiration.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein if a determination is made in said checking step that the software object lifetime has expired, further comprising the
- 30 step of disabling the software object.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein if a plurality of software objects

are associated with a service, further comprising the step of:  
creating a fingerprint for the plurality of software objects as a  
group.

5           6.     The method of claim 1, wherein the transmitted message further  
indicates which set-top terminal resources the software object is authorized to  
utilize.

          7.     The method of claim 6, wherein an impulse authorization service  
10   tier may be assigned to facilitate immediate authorization of a resource.

          8.     The method of claim 7, wherein the impulse authorization service  
tier has a time duration associated with it.

15           9.     The method of claim 1, further wherein the transmitted message in  
said transmitting step provides the indication by setting the corresponding service  
tiers.

          10.    The method of claim 1, further wherein if the service tier has not  
20   been authorized, the software object is not executed.

          11.    The method of claim 1, further wherein if the service tier has been  
authorized, the system routine checks determines if the software object about to be  
utilized passes a corresponding fingerprint check.

25           12.    The method of claim 11, wherein if the software object about to be  
utilized passes the corresponding fingerprint check, further comprising the step of:  
determining if the use of a set-top terminal resource has been  
requested.

30

13. The method of claim 12, wherein if a determination is made in said determining step that the use of a set-top terminal resource has been requested, further comprising the step of:

providing a second system routine at the digital set-top terminal.

5

14. The method of claim 12, wherein if a determination is made in said determining step that the use of a set-top terminal resource has been requested, further comprising the step of:

determining if it is the first time that use of the set-top terminal

10 resource by the software object has been requested,

wherein if it is the first time that use of the resource has been requested, providing a second system routine at the digital set-top terminal.

15 15. The method of claim 13, wherein the second system routine uses the transmitted messages to determine if the software object may utilize the requested set-top terminal resource.

16. The method of claim 11, further wherein if the software object  
20 about to be utilized does not have a corresponding fingerprint, the software object is not executed.

17. The method of claim 1, wherein the fingerprint of the software object residing in the set-top terminal is periodically compared to a reference  
25 value and an indication of a deviation is provided.

18. A method for providing authorization and access control of applications executing in digital set-top terminals, comprising the steps of:  
associating each application with a service tier;  
encoding each association made in said associating step;  
5 creating an association table containing the information generated in said encoding step;  
downloading the association table to the digital set-top terminal;  
and  
providing a system routine at the digital set-top terminal that is  
10 invoked whenever an application is invoked,  
wherein the system routine uses the application association or the association table to determine if an invoked application is associated with a service tier, and  
wherein if the invoked application is not associated  
15 with a service tier, the application is not utilized.

19. The method of claim 18, further wherein if an invoked application is associated with a service tier, the system routine further determines if the tier corresponding to the service/application has been authorized.

20. The method of claim 18, wherein when set-top terminal resource control is desired for a single application across all set-tops, further comprising the step of:  
providing an indication of the set-top terminal resource control in the  
25 encoded associations, wherein a second system routine uses the association table to determine if the software object may utilize the requested set-top terminal resource.

21. The method of claim 18 wherein set-top terminal resource control  
30 indications are conveyed to each set-top individually.

22. The method of claim 18, wherein the software memory size of critical software components of the digital set-top terminal are periodically compared to a reference value and an indication of a deviation is provided.

5 23. The method of claim 18, wherein the software size of the operating system of the digital set-top terminal is periodically compared to a reference value and an indication of a deviation is provided.

24. The method of claim 18, wherein the software object memory size  
10 of the application code image in the digital set-top terminal is periodically compared to a reference value and an indication of a deviation is provided.

25. The method of claim 18, wherein the checksum of critical software components of the digital set-top terminal is periodically compared to a reference  
15 value and an indication of a deviation is provided.

26. The method of claim 18, wherein the checksum of the operating system of the digital set-top terminal is periodically compared to a reference value and an indication of a deviation is provided.

20 27. The method of claim 18, wherein the checksum of the software object in the digital set-top terminal is periodically compared to a reference value and an indication of a deviation is provided.

25

28. A system for providing authorization and access control of software object residing in digital set-top terminals, comprising:

- a multiple system cable operator site comprising:
  - means for creating a fingerprint for each software object;
  - 5 means for assigning each fingerprint to a service tier;
  - encoding means for encoding each association made in said associating step;
  - means for creating an association table/message containing the information generated in said encoding step;
  - 10 means for downloading the association table to the digital set-top terminal;
  - means for transmitting a message, providing an indication of what software the set-top terminal may utilize, to the digital set-top terminal; and
  - 15 a digital set-top terminal comprising:
    - a system routine that is invoked whenever software object has been downloaded or is about to be utilized,
    - wherein the system routine uses the association table/message to determine if the software object about to be
    - 20 invoked has been authorized for the set-top terminal.

29. The system of claim 28, wherein said means for creating a fingerprint comprises an independent software/HW object authentication signature device (OASD).

30. The system of claim 29, wherein the OASD comprises said means for assigning each fingerprint to a service tier.




31. A digital set-top terminal, operating together with a multiple system cable operator system to provide authorization and access control of software object residing in the digital set-top terminal, the set-top terminal comprising:

5 a system routine that is invoked whenever software object has been downloaded or is about to be utilized,

wherein the system routine uses an association table/message, created at the MSO and downloaded to the set-top terminal, to determine if the software object about to be invoked  
10 has been authorized for the set-top terminal,

and further wherein the association table/message comprises an encoded fingerprint to service tier association corresponding to the software object.

15



2/4





FIG. 2

3/4



4/4




FIG. 4

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/US 00/02855

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 G06F1/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G06F H04N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	<p>EP 0 813 133 A (IBM) 17 December 1997 (1997-12-17)</p> <p>abstract; figures 1,5,8 column 1, line 3 - line 7 column 1, line 48 -column 2, line 28 column 3, line 5 - line 47 column 4, line 8 - line 48 column 5, line 6 - line 15 column 5, line 51 -column 6, line 43 column 7, line 5 - line 21</p> <p style="text-align: center;">--- -/--</p>	<p>1-4,6, 9-13,15, 16, 18-21, 28-31</p>

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

\*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

\*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

\*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

\*G\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

22 May 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

26/05/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Sigolo, A

10

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 00/02855

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	WO 97 25798 A (MITRE CORP) 17 July 1997 (1997-07-17)  abstract; figures 1-5 page 5, line 18 - line 27 page 6, line 24 -page 8, line 28 page 11, line 25 -page 12, line 11 page 14, line 14 -page 17, line 10 page 40, line 8 -page 43, line 7 page 44, line 18 -page 46, line 24 page 54, line 13 - line 33 page 56, line 26 - line 34 page 58, line 17 - line 34	1-4, 6, 9-13, 15, 16, 18-21, 28-31
A	WO 99 07149 A (SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA) 11 February 1999 (1999-02-11) abstract; figure 3 page 7, line 9 -page 8, line 10 page 11, line 25 -page 13, line 20 page 15, line 15 - line 22	1-31
A	EP 0 848 314 A (NCR INT INC) 17 June 1998 (1998-06-17) abstract; figure 2 page 1, line 18 -page 1, line 44	1-31

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 00/02855

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0813133 A	17-12-1997	JP 10091427 A	10-04-1998
WO 9725798 A	17-07-1997	AU 1690597 A	01-08-1997
		CA 2242596 A	17-07-1997
		EP 0880840 A	02-12-1998
		US 5933498 A	03-08-1999
WO 9907149 A	11-02-1999	AU 1581699 A	08-03-1999
		AU 8670598 A	22-02-1999
		AU 8679798 A	22-02-1999
		AU 8679898 A	22-02-1999
		AU 8764298 A	22-02-1999
		AU 8823398 A	22-02-1999
		AU 8823698 A	22-02-1999
		EP 1000508 A	17-05-2000
		EP 1000509 A	17-05-2000
		EP 1000511 A	17-05-2000
		WO 9907145 A	11-02-1999
		WO 9907146 A	11-02-1999
		WO 9907147 A	11-02-1999
		WO 9907148 A	11-02-1999
		WO 9909743 A	25-02-1999
		WO 9907150 A	11-02-1999
EP 0848314 A	17-06-1998	US 6006228 A	21-12-1999
		JP 10240624 A	11-09-1998